BANCODE**ESPAÑA** Eurosistema

### POTENTIAL GROWTH OF THE SPANISH ECONOMY AFTER THE PANDEMIC

PILAR CUADRADO MARIO IZQUIERDO DANILO LEIVA ENRIQUE MORAL-BENITO JAVIER QUINTANA

Joint OGWG - ECFIN - JRC Conference

"Assessment of output gaps and potential output in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and its aftermath"

29 - 30 September 2021

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS DEPARTMENT







- 1. Impact of COVID19 on potential output
  - Shock nature
  - Different mechanisms

### 2. Alternative estimate approaches

- Production function methodology
- Sectoral analysis
- Statistical methodology

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS DEPARTMENT

THE PARTY AND A PARTY AND A

- Non-economic character shock, completely exogenous
  - Nevertheless, possible long-term impact due to intensity and persistency
- Effects on both supply and demand
  - Impact on factors of production and possible structural changes in demand
- Very different effects between sectors
  - Concentration in sectors with high social interaction and labor-intensive sectors
- Key role of economic policies in mitigating the effects of the crisis

- Analysis of the effect of the pandemic on potential growth through its determinants:
  - Total Factor Productivity
  - Labour
  - Capital
- It can be distinguished:
  - Short-term effects
  - Long-term effects (*scarring effects*)

## **Total Factor Productivity**

- Clearly negative effects in the short term due to lower use of installed capacity
  - Restricted worker mobility and disruption of supply chains
- Ambiguous long-term effects
  - Changes within the company:
  - Breakdown of worker-company or customer-supplier matchings
  - Adoption of new technologies: digitalization and e-commerce
  - Inter-firm shifts and business demographics:
  - Lower entry rate of new companies due to poorer economic conditions
  - High number of companies in financial difficulties: risk of an excessive level of liquidations
  - + Exit of less productive firms (empirical evidence in the EBAE)
  - + Estructural change towards sectors with higher contribution to productivity growth

### Labour

- Hysteresis effects:
  - Increase in NAIRU due to mismatches between labor demand and supply
  - Workers with low qualifications or close to retirement leave the labor market
  - International restrictions on mobility reduce migratory flows
  - Long-term negative effects of school closings on human capital accumulation

# Capital

- Reduced incentives to invest in new capital
- Early obsolescence of existing capital due to demand changes
- + Decreased capital depreciation and increased useful life due to lower utilization

## **Production Function Methodology**

- · Contribution to potential growth of each growth factor
  - Labour
    - NAIRU neo-Keynesian Phillips curve estimation (Galí, 2011)
    - Working-age population INE projections
    - Participation rate
    - Worked hours per worker
  - Capital
  - Total Factor Productivity
- Three scenarios (baseline, mild and severe) based on the outlook for the severity and duration of the pandemic
  - Based on the official macroeconomic projections of the Bank of Spain
- Projection horizon to 2023

#### **Baseline scenario:**

- Potential growth rates only slightly lower, from 2022 onwards, than the previous scenario
- Permanent lower level of potential GDP (around -2%)



Sources: BMPE dec-2019 and BMPE nov-2020.

- Labour
  - NAIRU increase to about 16% between 2020 and 2023
  - Fall in the working-age population
    - Reduction of net inflows of immigrants in 2020
  - Significant drop in activity rate and hours worked per employee
    - Recovery in following years
- Capital
  - Positive contribution, although slightly lower
    - Delayed investments due to increased uncertainty
- TFP
  - Severe drop in 2020 due to production disruptions
    - Recovery of pre-Covid contribution by 2023



### **Sectoral analysis**

- · Large differences in the impact of the pandemic according to productive sectors
  - Greater intensity and persistence in sectors with a higher component of social interaction
- Two-step methodology:
  - 1. Setting **unequal paths of recovery** of the pre-covid activity level for each **branch of activity** based on the responses obtained in the **EBAE** 
    - The disaggregation by sector of the aggregate GVA forecast is made according to the percentage of companies that state that they are able to recover the pre-covid level of activity in 2021, as of 2022 or that there is too much uncertainty to respond
  - 2. Estimated potential growth by industry based on Hodrick-Prescot filter
    - Calibrated lambdas that replicate the aggregate level of potential pre-Covid product



- Hotels, transport and commerce and Entertainment services
  - do not recover their pre-pandemic level in 2023 in the central scenario

Information and communication, Financial services and Education, Health and Public Administrations.

• will maintain sustained growth paths

Negative effects are concentrated in laborintensive sectors with a high level of social interaction

Source: Banco de España.



Source: Banco de España.

- Baseline scenario
  - Potential growth of around 1% in 2023
  - Slightly lower than the 2019
  - Pre-COVID level not recovered
- Mild scenario
  - Positive effects on potential in the long term
- Severe scenario
  - Severe drop in the short term
  - The drop in potential is both in growth rates and levels

## Statistical methodology

- Problem with non-parametric models (such as Band-Pass or Hodrick-Prescott filters):
  - The huge fall in GDP in 2020 Q1-QT2 implies large revisions to potential output in pre-pandemic periods
  - It is difficult to justify an endogenous nature of the COVID-19 shock
- Possible solution: Unobserved components models
  - Modeling of the cyclical and trend components of GDP
  - Including a component associated with the effect of the active pandemic
    - *It prevents the estimation of the cycle and the trend from being distorted by the exogenous shock*
    - And reduces potential product revisions of prior periods
  - Incorporating information on **working conditions** for greater accuracy



Source: Banco de España.

- After the sharp downturn in 2020, potential output would quickly recover positive and prepandemic-like growth rates under all three scenarios
- The "pandemic" shock negatively influences 2020 GDP, with a similar magnitude in all three scenarios

### Conclusions

Similar results from the three approaches. In the **baseline scenario**:

- Significant drop in the potential growth rate in 2020
- Recovery of pre-pandemic rates towards the end of the projection horizon
- Permanent effect on the level of potential output

According to the production function approach, **deterioration due to**:

- Hysteresis effects in the labour market
- Significant drop in TFP in the short term

Projections subject to high uncertainty: health and economic policy developments



### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS DEPARTMENT



## Metodología Estadística

$$y_{t} = \tau_{t} + c_{t} + p_{t}, \quad p_{t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{p,t}^{2}\right)$$
$$u_{t} = \bar{u}_{t} + \theta_{1}c_{t} + \theta_{2}c_{t-1} + v_{u,t}, \quad v_{u,t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{u}^{2}\right)$$
$$\sigma_{p,t}^{2} = \begin{cases} 0 & If \quad t \notin T_{pandemia} \\ \sigma_{p}^{2} & If \quad t \in T_{pandemia} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_t = \tau_{t-1} + \delta_{t-1} + \eta_{\tau,t}, \quad \eta_{\tau,t} \sim N\left(0,\sigma_{\tau}^2\right)$$
  
$$\delta_t = \delta_{t-1} + \eta_{\delta,t}, \quad \eta_{\delta,t} \sim N\left(0,\sigma_{\delta}^2\right)$$

$$c_t = \phi_1 c_{t-1} + \phi_2 c_{t-2} + \eta_{c,t}, \quad \eta_{c,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_c^2)$$

 $\bar{u}_t = \bar{u}_{t-1} + \eta_{\bar{u},t}, \quad \eta_{\bar{u},t} \sim N\left(0,\sigma_{\bar{u}}^2\right)$ 

- Componente tendencial,  $\tau_t$ 
  - Paseo aleatorio
  - Tasa de crecimiento como paseo aleatorio

$$^{3)}$$
 • Componente cíclico,  $c_t$ 

Proceso autorregresivo

(4)

(1)

(2)

- (5) Componente pandémico,  $p_t$ 
  - Activo solo a partir de 2020

(7)

(6)

- Desempleo tendencial,  $\overline{u}_t$ 
  - Paseo aleatorio